



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	, ,			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,013	07/03/2001	Ariel Peled	01/22083	9188
7590 02/07/2005			EXAMINER	
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA			LIPMAN, JACOB	
SUITE 207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202			2134	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Applicant(s	Applicant(s)			
		09/897,013	PELED ET A	AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jacob Lipman	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>03 July 2001</u> .	•				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)∐ This action is non-fi	nal.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or P or No(s)/Mail Date	T T	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	on (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/897,013 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-52 and 58, drawn to a system for searching for data in a file sharing system, classified in class 713, subclass 201.
- II. Claims 53-55, drawn to a system to search and attack of a file sharing system, classified in class 709, subclass 223.
- III. Claim 56, drawn to a system to detect a distribution pattern for remote analysis, classified in class 709, subclass 224.
- IV. Claim 57, drawn to an element for scanning a network via port connections, classified in class 709, subclass 225.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as to search nodewise to check if a file sharing system includes predetermined data. Invention II does not require this specific type of search, and includes an attack. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions I, III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 2134

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different ways to monitor a network.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for any other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Should applicant elect Group I, this application will contain claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Different signature content in claims 7-12.

Different signature content in claims 20-24.

Different signature content in claims 32-37.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution from each group on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/897,013

Art Unit: 2134

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Anthony Castorina on 1/18/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the examiner's message was not returned, and thus did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

Application/Control Number: 09/897,013

Art Unit: 2134

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571-272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100